## Embrace What's Next

#### Master Plan 2050 Stakeholder Meeting May 22, 2018 Draft for Internal Discussion Only

CVG





- Master Plan Progress To Date
- Aviation Activity Forecast Summary
- Passenger Concourse Concepts & Level 1 Evaluation



#### Master Plan Schedule





Completed	Underway	Upcoming
<ul> <li>Master Plan Website</li> <li>Master Plan Goals &amp; Objectives</li> <li>Draft Inventory of Existing Conditions</li> <li>Draft Aviation Forecast</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Edits to Inventory of Existing Conditions</li> <li>FAA Review of Forecast</li> <li>Demand/Capacity Facility Requirements</li> <li>Alternatives Analysis &amp; Level 1 Evaluation</li> <li>Financial Feasibility Model/Plan</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Airline Engagement</li> <li>Public Meeting #1 (Early Summer)</li> <li>Alternatives Analysis &amp; Level 2/3 Evaluation</li> <li>Implementation Plan</li> <li>Financial Feasibility</li> </ul>







5

# Embrace What's Next

#### Aviation Activity Forecast Summary Draft Results



## Purpose of The Forecast

The forecasts were developed as part of the Master Plan Update as a basis for determining future facility requirements at the Airport. The forecasts represent market-driven demand for air services. The forecasts are unconstrained, and as such, do not take facility constraints or other limiting factors into consideration. In other words, for the purposes of estimated future demand, the forecasts assume facilities can be provided to meet demand.

If the Airport's forecast is used for FAA decision-making, such as approval of the master plan, the FAA requires that the Airport's forecast be consistent with the most recent TAF (Terminal Area Forecast) or be separately approved for use in the master planning process.





## **Historical Activity Trends**





## Historical Connecting Passengers



## Historical Cargo Trends



+14.0% average annual growth since 2010<sup>1</sup>

DHL has accounted for

90.8%

of cargo throughput since 2010

<sup>1</sup> 2010 was first full year for DHL at CVG since returning from Wilmington Air Park.

9

#### Non-Stop Passenger Destinations



#### Historical Total Aircraft Operations Trend



328,056 aircraft operations in 2007

150,463 aircraft operations in 2017







#### Short-Term Forecast 2018-23 Methodology

- Based on a supply side, or bottom-up, approach.
- Assumptions per airline group are provided below:

Legacy Network Carriers	Low-Cost Carriers	Ultra Low-Cost Carriers	International Carriers
<ul> <li>Modest increases to existing markets with growth focused on hub flying</li> <li>New entrant to begin service within short- term timeframe</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Consistent growth to markets within existing networks</li> <li>New entrant to begin service within short- term timeframe</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Significant growth in short-term with additional service to markets within existing ULCC networks and addition of new markets</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>WOW Air will begin service in 2018.</li> <li>LCC and ULLC growth into Caribbean markets within short- term timeframe</li> <li>New entrants to begin service within short- term timeframe</li> </ul>



#### Long-Term Forecast 2024-50 Summary



## Cargo Throughput Forecast Summary



1.1 Million tons in 2017

6.7 Million

tons in 2050

#### Passenger Aircraft Operations Forecast



### Passenger Aircraft Fleet Mix

		Departures						
	2016	2017	2022	2027	2032	2037	2050	
Domestic Passenger								
Air Carrier	11,233	16,875	29,510	34,330	36,180	38,390	43,930	
Widebody	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Narrowbody	11,233	16,875	29,510	34,330	36,180	38,390	43,930	
Commuter	37,140	33,702	31,160	33,820	36,730	39,920	47,330	
Large Regional	20,069	21,966	28,459	31,846	35,305	38,589	46,082	
Small Regional	17,071	11,736	2,701	1,974	1,425	1,331	1,248	
Total Domestic Passenger	48,373	50,577	60,670	68,150	72,910	78,310	91,260	

#### International Passenger

Air Carrier	442	471	1,076	1,610	2,130	2,790	4,550
Widebody	337	329	667	953	1,214	1,320	1,474
Narrowbody	105	142	409	657	916	1,470	3,076
Commuter	1,351	1,441	1,387	1,800	2,370	2,920	4,380
Large Regional	0	5	1,387	1,800	2,370	2,920	4,380
Small Regional	1,351	1,436	0	0	0	0	0
Total International Passenger	1,793	1,912	2,463	3,410	4,500	5,710	8,930

Total Passenger	50,166	52,489	63,133	71,560	77,410	84,020	100,190

17

#### Freighter Aircraft Operations Forecast



### Freighter Aircraft Fleet Mix

		Departures						
	2016	2017	2022	2027	2032	2037	2050	
Cargo Freighter								
Air Carrier	12,482	16,253	31,250	51,435	68,035	82,260	118,380	
Widebody	9,734	13,055	27,200	37,275	49,345	59,950	85,770	
Narrowbody	2,748	3,198	4,050	14,160	18,690	22,310	32,610	
Commuter	1,503	1,749	2,120	2,500	2,920	3,340	4,540	
Large Regional	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Small Regional	1,503	1,749	2,120	2,500	2,920	3,340	4,540	
Total Freighters	13,985	18,002	33,370	53,935	70,955	85,600	122,920	



## Aircraft Operations Forecast Summary Chart



#### Peak Hour Aircraft Operations – 2017 Design Day

 The overall peak for aircraft operations are dependent on freighter operations as the arrival peak occurs just past midnight and the departure peak is during the first morning departure peak.



### Peak Hour Operations Forecast

Segment	Level	2017	2022	2027	2032	2037	2050
Domestic	Annual Operations	101,154	121,340	136,300	145,820	156,620	182,520
Passenger	Peak Month Operations	9,154	10,980	12,330	13,200	14,170	16,520
	Design Day Operations	330	390	438	469	503	587
	Peak Hour Arrivals	16	16	19	21	23	27
	Peak Hour Departures	23	23	26	28	30	35
	Peak Hour Operations	32	35	39	42	45	53
International	Annual Operations	3,824	4,930	6,820	9,000	11,420	17,860
Passenger	Peak Month Operations	386	500	690	910	1,150	1,800
	Design Day Operations	13	18	26	34	43	68
	Peak Hour Arrivals	2	3	3	3	3	5
	Peak Hour Departures	1	2	2	3	4	6
	Peak Hour Operations	3	4	5	6	7	11
Total Passenger	Annual Operations	104,978	126,270	143,120	154,820	168,040	200,380
	Peak Month Operations	9,540	11,480	13,020	14,110	15,320	18,320
	Design Day Operations	343	408	464	503	546	655
	Peak Hour Arrivals	16	16	20	22	24	29
	Peak Hour Departures	23	23	27	29	31	37
	Peak Hour Operations	32	35	40	43	47	56



### Peak Hour Operations Forecast

Segment	Level	2017	2022	2027	2032	2037	2050
Cargo	Annual Operations	36,004	66,740	107,870	141,910	171,200	245,840
	Peak Month Operations	3,924	6,967	10,570	13,651	16,410	23,484
	Design Day Operations	154	235	352	454	546	778
	Peak Hour Arrivals	21	36	50	65	77	111
	Peak Hour Departures	25	35	49	63	76	109
	Peak Hour Operations	28	37	51	66	79	113
Air Taxi/	Annual Operations	9,349	9,800	10,260	10,760	11,260	12,720
General Aviation	Peak Month Operations	825	860	910	950	990	1,120
	Design Day Operations	43	45	47	50	52	58
	Peak Hour Arrivals	5	5	5	5	5	6
	Peak Hour Departures	4	4	4	4	5	6
	Peak Hour Operations	7	8	8	8	8	9
Military	Annual Operations	132	130	130	130	130	130
	Peak Month Operations	10	10	10	10	10	10
	Design Day Operations	2	2	2	2	2	2
	Peak Hour Arrivals	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Peak Hour Departures	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Peak Hour Operations	1	1	1	1	1	1
Total	Annual Operations	150,463	202,940	261,380	307,620	350,630	459,070
	Peak Month Operations	14,299	19,317	24,510	28,721	32,730	42,934
	Design Day Operations	542	690	861	1,508	1,145	2,143
	Peak Hour Arrivals	22	39	52	67	80	110
	Peak Hour Departures	41	52	66	81	97	131
	Peak Hour Operations	46	56	71	87	102	136



#### Peak Hour Seats – 2017 Design Day

 In 2017, the peak for departing seats occurs during second morning departure push while the arrival peak occurs during the midday.



#### Peak Hour Passenger Forecast

Segment	Level	2017	2022	2027	2032	2037	2050
Domestic	Annual Passengers	7,570,313	10,543,200	12,014,580	13,150,280	14,329,360	17,047,280
Passenger	Peak Month Passengers	749,808	1,044,260	1,189,800	1,302,790	1,419,580	1,688,320
	Design Day Passengers	26,560	36,560	41,600	45,620	49,690	59,110
	Peak Hour Arriving	1,680	1,802	2,114	2,297	2,473	2,961
	Peak Hour Departing	2,070	2,222	2,503	2,630	2,749	3,273
	Peak Hour Passengers	2,600	3,121	3,635	3,996	4,484	5,301
International	Annual Passengers	271,836	541,200	809,200	1,094,200	1,379,200	2,120,200
Passenger	Peak Month Passengers	31,585	53,880	80,370	108,600	136,340	209,960
	Design Day Passengers	1,150	2,074	3,240	4,340	5,450	8,480
	Peak Hour Arriving	290	465	482	504	528	866
	Peak Hour Departing	240	397	411	530	607	896
	Peak Hour Passengers	340	530	725	807	874	1,352
Total Passenger	Annual Passengers	7,842,149	11,084,400	12,823,780	14,244,480	15,708,560	19,167,480
	Peak Month Passengers	781,393	1,098,140	1,270,170	1,411,390	1,555,920	1,898,280
	Design Day Passengers	27,710	38,634	44,840	49,960	55,140	67,590
	Peak Hour Arriving	1,690	1,802	2,434	2,432	2,796	3,207
	Peak Hour Departing	2,080	2,222	2,503	2,899	2,947	3,519
	Peak Hour Passengers	2,620	3,211	4,104	4,400	5,335	5,793



# Embrace What's Next

#### Passenger Concourse Concepts and Level 1 Evaluation



**Draft for Internal Discussion Only** 

#### **Concourse Development Process**



**Draft for Internal Discussion Only** 

## **Gate Requirements**





#### 2013 Master Plan - Requirements

2013 Master Plan showed a need for 36-42 gate positions in 2035

	PAL 1	PAL 2	PAL 3	PAL 4	PAL 5	
Multi-Carrier Scenario Year	2015	2020	2025	2030	2035	
Annual Enplanements	4.25M	5.39M	5.75M	6.14M	6.56M	
ADPM Commercial Departures	167	188	199	201	212	
				_		
Low Use - Turns per Gate	4.3	4.8	4.9	5	5.1	MAD 20E0 Forecast
Gates Required	39	40	41	41	42	IVIP 2050 Forecast
Annual Englanding and and Only	100.000	124 000	140,400	440.000	450.070	2037 =
Annual Enplanements per Gate	108,960	134,690	140,190	149,660	156,270	48-58 gates
Moderate Use - Turns per Cate	5	5.5	57	5.8	6	40 50 gates
Moderate Ose - Turns per Gate	5	0.0	5.7	5.0	0	
Gates Required	34	35	35	35	36	
Annual Enplanements per Gate	124,983	153,935	164,221	175.314	182,318	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,	,	,	,,	
Projected Use - Turns Per Gate	4.3	4.9	5.3	5.7	6	
Gates Required	39	39	38	36	36	
Annual Enplanements per Gate	108,960	138,150	151,260	170,440	182,320	/CVG/
						29

#### Gate Requirements

- Minimum gate requirements based on 100% common use
- Maximum gate requirements based on preferential use (min 3 turns/day)
- Exclusive and preferential gates will increase the requirement
- Gating analysis will refine requirement

		Gate Requirements							
	Gates	2017 Gates	PAL 1 (2022) Gates	PAL 2 (2027) Gates	PAL 3 (2037) Gates	PAL 4 (2050) Gates			
Minimum	EA	32	38	42	48	57			
Maximum	51	38	48	51	58	69			



## **Evaluation of Concepts**





#### Gating Concept Families

#### Draft for Internal Discussion Only

Families		APM Connection	FIS Relocation
Family 1: Status Quo – Keep A & B		Requires APM	Limited Area at Main Terminal to Relocate Int'l Gates
Family 2: Clean Slate – Close A & B	FIS FIS	APM Not Required	Enables New FIS Facility
Family 3: Maintain/Rebuild A – Close B		APM Not Required	Requires New FIS Facility
Family 4: Maintain/Rebuild B – Close A		Requires APM	Enables New FIS Facility

#### **Evaluation Criteria and Descriptions**

AIRSIDE		
Α	Airside Circulation / Operations	Does the configuration of the concourse(s) maintain or improve the taxiing of aircraft from east to west without creating significant numbers of gates that have independent pushback operations?
TERMINAL		
В	Passenger Journey	The configuration of the concourse(s) minimizes the number of level changes and the potential unassisted walking distance required for passengers to flow from the main terminal to their gate and from their gate to the main terminal.
С	APM Needed	Does the concourse configuration eliminate the need for an APM (train/people-mover)?
D	Baggage Operations	Does the concourse configuration allow for the implementation of a simplified baggage handling system with consolidated baggage screening?
E	International Passenger Arrivals	Does the concourse configuration allow for international arriving passengers to exit the Customs and Border Protection facility directly to the landside without having to be rescreened?
F	Future Flexibility	Does the concourse configuration support both future hubbing operations and flexibility O&D operations and airline gate allocations?
IMPLEMENTATION		
G	Impact to Existing Facilities	Does the concourse configuration limit the impact to existing non-passenger related structures.
н	Infrastructure Re-Use	Does the concourse configuration reduce the need to construct new facilities by providing the ability to re-use existing concourse/gate infrastructure?
I	Phasing	Is it feasible to phase the construction of the concourse configuration in a way that limits the impacts to existing gate operations and does not require the construction of temporary gates?
J	Project "Off-Ramps"	Allows for incremental facility expansion that provides for flexibility in modifying the plan at project milestones. The ultimate configuration is able to be modified over time to adjust to changing conditions at the airport.